



# MCAS Annual Comparisons

\* **NOTE:** Performance level percentages are not calculated if student group less than 10. Data Last Updated on September 16, 2009


GRADE 08 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	16	17	18	24
PROFICIENT	77	71	69	67
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	6	12	10	9
FAILING	0	0	3	0



GRADE 08 - MATHEMATICS				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	12	28	24	32
PROFICIENT	48	33	35	35
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	27	29	25	23
FAILING	12	11	16	10



GRADE 08 - SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	10	7	5	12
PROFICIENT	56	55	52	55
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	30	31	36	28
FAILING	4	7	7	5






□

<b>GRADE 07 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS</b>				
<b>PERFORMANCE 2006 2007 2008 2009</b>				
<b>LEVEL</b>				
ADVANCED	21	16	21	23
PROFICIENT	65	66	67	69
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	12	17	12	8
FAILING	3	2	0	1

<b>GRADE 07 - MATHEMATICS</b>				
<b>PERFORMANCE 2006 2007 2008 2009</b>				
<b>LEVEL</b>				
ADVANCED	20	14	23	21
PROFICIENT	43	38	41	43
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	27	31	25	30
FAILING	10	17	10	6

<b>GRADE 06 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS</b>				
<b>PERFORMANCE 2006 2007 2008 2009</b>				
<b>LEVEL</b>				
ADVANCED	18	16	26	24
PROFICIENT	64	69	59	55
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	18	14	14	18
FAILING	0	2	1	3



□

GRADE 06 - MATHEMATICS				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	24	36	31	33
PROFICIENT	35	37	46	41
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	29	22	20	21
FAILING	11	5	4	5

