



MCAS Annual Comparisons

* **NOTE:** Performance level percentages are not calculated if student group less than 10. Data Last Updated on September 16, 2009


GRADE 05 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	32	28	21	18
PROFICIENT	49	59	59	62
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	20	10	18	20
FAILING	0	4	2	0



GRADE 05 - MATHEMATICS				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	40	34	33	38
PROFICIENT	34	36	41	33
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	24	28	24	24
FAILING	2	3	2	6



GRADE 05 - SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	33	26	27	32
PROFICIENT	44	54	48	40
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	23	20	23	22
FAILING	0	0	2	6






□

GRADE 04 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS				
PERFORMANCE 2006 2007 2008 2009				
LEVEL				
ADVANCED	13	16	6	13
PROFICIENT	59	53	55	63
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	24	26	34	22
FAILING	4	6	5	3

GRADE 04 - MATHEMATICS				
PERFORMANCE 2006 2007 2008 2009				
LEVEL				
ADVANCED	24	28	17	23
PROFICIENT	35	32	39	42
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	39	36	37	33
FAILING	1	4	7	1

GRADE 03 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS				
PERFORMANCE 2006 2007 2008 2009				
LEVEL				
ABOVE PROFICIENT	27	11	13	13
PROFICIENT	40	56	54	61
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	26	30	31	24
FAILING	7	2	3	3



□

GRADE 03 - MATHEMATICS				
PERFORMANCE 2006 2007 2008 2009				
LEVEL				
ABOVE PROFICIENT	9	24	23	20
PROFICIENT	62	45	42	49
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	21	22	28	24
FAILING	8	9	7	6

